

#### **Custom Intrusion Detection Techniques for Monitoring Web Applications**

Matthew Olney Sourcefire VRT molney@sourcefire.com



### The OWASP Foundation

http://www.owasp.org

# GIVE YOUR ANALYST A CHANCE



As we know, there are known knowns. There are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns. That is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns, the ones we don't know we don't know.

-- Donald Rumsfeld, Feb 12, 2002

# The attacker holds a major information advantage

...but that makes the small advantages we do have that much more important.



4

# Part One: Signature Based Detection



alert tcp \$EXTERNAL\_NET any -> \$HOME\_NET any (msg:"Jesus Christ it's a lion GET IN THE CAR"; content:"LION"; nocase; classtype: attempted-bite-your-head; sid: 1;)





But the VRT doesn't know...

## your network, your systems, your applications

Maybe, just maybe, you do.



7

SNORT – The Open Source Intrusion Detection System

Signature based detection

Frag & Stream Reassembly

Substantial HTTP preprocessing



Multiple protocol decoding

http://www.snort.org



#### Matt's 30 Second OWASP Rule Writing Class

Part 1: alert tcp \$EXTERNAL\_NET any -> \$HOME\_NET \$HTTP\_PORTS (msg:"LOCAL #{Message}"; flow: to\_server, established;

Part 2: uricontent:"#{SecretSauce}"; nocase;

Part 3: class-type: attempted-admin; sid: #{UniqueLocalSID};)

For Example:

alert tcp \$EXTERNAL\_NET any -> \$HOME\_NET \$HTTP\_PORTS (msg:"LOCAL Admin page access attempt"; flow: to\_server, established; uricontent:"admin"; nocase; class-type:attempted-admin; sid: 42098729;)



# WIRESHARK: The Lazy Rule-Writer's Friend

🗉 Hypertext Transfer Protocol							
□ POST /cgi/comments.pl HTTP/1.1\r\n							
[Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): POST /cqi/comments.pl HTTP/1.1\r\n]							
[Message: POST /cgi/comments.p] HTTP/1.1\r\n]							
[Severity level: Chat]							
[Group: Sequence]							
Request Method: POST							
Request URI: /cgi/comments.pl							
Request Version: HTTP/1.1							
[truncated] Accept: image/gif, image/jpeg, image/pjpeg, image/pjpeg, app							
Referer: http://www.fark.com/cgi/comments.pl\r\n							
Accept-Language: en-us\r\n							
User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; T	∙ident⁄4						
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded\r\n							
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n							
Host: www.fark.com\r\n							
□ Content-Length: 344\r\n							
[Content length: 344]							
Connection: Keep-Alive\r\n							
Cache-Control: no-cache\r\n							
[truncated] Cookie:qca=1231175793-89433651-15190562;utma=20092591.							
\r\n							
Ellebased text data: application/x-www-form-unlencoded	7						
[truncated] tok=H39QGKP=rPBu3S7=h112Xk8_J_XckdnDBBvt2Ay2M_03tJkq	/атмогру						
0250 6e 63 6f 64 65 64 0d 0a 41 63 63 65 70 74 2d 45 ncoded. Ac	ept-E						
0260 6e 63 6f 64 69 6e 67 3a 20 67 7a 69 70 2c 20 64 nooding: g	rip, d						
0280 77 2e 66 61 72 6b 2e 63 6f 6d 0d 0a 43 6f 6e 74 w.fark.c om	.Cont						
0290 65 6e 74 2d 4c 65 6e 67 74 68 3a 20 33 34 34 0d ent-Leng th	344.						
02a0 0a 43 6t 6e 6e 65 63 74 69 6t 6e 3a 20 4b 65 65 .Connect 10	1: Kee						
02c0 6f 6e 74 72 6f 6c 3a 20 6e 6f 2d 63 61 63 68 65 ontrol: no	-cache						
and and an an effect of on or in the second							
	qca=						

OWASP 🕢

<b>i.e.</b> (or is it e.g.)?	<ul> <li>POST /cgi/comments.pl HTTP/1.1\r\n</li> <li>[Expert Info (Chat/Sequence): POST /cgi/comments.pl HTTP/1.1\r\n] [Message: POST /cgi/comments.pl HTTP/1.1\r\n] [Severity level: Chat] [Group: Sequence] Request Method: POST Request URI: /cgi/comments.pl Request Version: HTTP/1.1 [truncated] Accept: image/gif, image/jpeg, image/pjpeg, image/pjpeg, a</li> </ul>					
	Referer: http://www.fark.com/cgi/comments.pl\r\n					
	Accept-Language: en-us\r\n					
	User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; Tride					
	Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded\r\n					
	Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n					
	Host: www.fark.com\r\n					
	<pre>⊡ Content-Length: 344\r\n [Content length: 344]</pre>					
	Connection: Keep-Alive\r\n					
	Cache-Control: no-cache\r\n Francescold Cacheira and 1221175702 00122651 151005622 and 20002501					
	[truncated] Cookie:qca=1231175793-89433651-15190562;utma=2009259] \r\n					
	Line-based text data: application/x-www-form-urlencoded					
	[truncated] tok=H39QGKP-rPBu3s7-hi12xk8_J_XCKdnDBBVt2AyZM_03tJKq7aTND2k					
	40 74 70 3a 2f 2f 77 77 77 2e 66 61 72 6b 2e 63 6f tp://www.fark.co					
	50 6d 2f 63 67 69 2f 63 6f 6d 6d 65 6e 74 73 2e 70 m/cgi/co mments.p					
	60 67 00 0a 41 63 63 65 70 74 2d 4c 61 6e 67 75 61Accep t-Langua					

#### HTTP\_INSPECT

- If you're going to inspect http, it should be on.
- Already does some anomaly detection:
  - Directory traversal
  - Double decoding
  - •Oversize URI Requests
  - •Oversize chunk encoding
  - •Oversize header size
- Check out the http\_inspect config
- Read the README.http\_inspect document (seriously)
- Remember to configure it to monitor any ports that handle HTTP traffic (80, 8080, custom web management consoles, etc...)



#### **TEST YOUR RULES**

(and find some more samples at <a href="http://vrt-sourcefire.blogspot.com/">http://vrt-sourcefire.blogspot.com/</a>)



Where to find Snort help:

Snort-Users mailing list: https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/snort-users

Snort-Sigs mailing list: https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/snort-sigs

Webcasts (Writing Effective Rules Parts I and II): http://www.sourcefire.com/resources/snort-webcast-access

IRC: #snort on freenode

VRT Blog: http://vrt-sourcefire.blogspot.com/

Twitter: http://twitter.com/vrt\_sourcefire



Your code and application flow defines how the client should request information.

Abuse that fact to "give your analysts a chance" at finding a problem.



# Part Two: Anomaly Based Detection





#### **Netflow:** The Instant WTF Generation Specialist



Analysis of Network Flow Statistics

For each network conversation we get the following data:

Source and destination IP address and ports Total number of packets Total number of layer 4 bytes IP protocol number

Individually the information is mildly interesting. But within the context of all other data at that moment, or all other data ever gathered, individual flows can become very interesting.



Typically, you'll have to play nice with the router guys:





20

A simple top talker chart (by Total Octets):

Source IP	Destination IP	Total Flows	Total Octets	Total Packets
10.4.12.226	68.177.102.20	7	1252024	10413
10.4.12.60	10.4.128.99	10136	624726	10136
10.4.12.226	216.34.181.48	5167	421623	5310
10.4.12.60	216.34.181.48	4891	397892	5024
10.4.12.201	68.177.102.20	4437	358588	4673



Tools Exists to Present the Data in Different Forms:



Image of FlowScan Output Retrieved from <u>www.caida.org</u> 9/25/2009 # recn: ip-

port,flows,octets,packets,duration 0,2928,46660002,94312,1517422058 11,186,435224,1076,1383130 20,8,299832820,332792,106660 21,88,2889118,40626,2332797 22,1426,63886472,106367,32555957 23,13,38226,744,841922 25,9890,58946406,175872,13960396 7 42,1,1908,4,32 43,8713,7946017,41760,153687005 53,97353,52755666,427045,1500176 086

# stop, hit record limit.

Flow-Tools Suite Flow-report output



#### Things to Look For:

- Service detection
- Statistical deviation from the norm
- Connections to unexpected networks
- Local Outliers (top Talker)
- External Outliers (Top Listener)
- Any condition that makes your brow furrow.

Bonus: Encrypted Traffic Doesn't Affect Netflow



#### **Different demands an explanation**

Examining an unexplained outlier may be the "break" that gives you a chance to catch the bad guy.



#### Some Netflow Resources

Flow Tools (PERL folks go here) http://www.splintered.net/sw/flow-tools/

Cisco's Netflow Site http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps6601/products\_ios\_protocol\_group\_home.html

Collection of Netflow Analysis Tools http://www.networkuptime.com/tools/netflow/

Caida's Flowscan Netflow Visualization Tool <a href="http://www.caida.org/tools/utilities/flowscan/">http://www.caida.org/tools/utilities/flowscan/</a>



## Questions?



26