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Why do we need SSL?

- Privacy
- Online shopping
- Online banking
- Identity Protection
- Data Integrity
Early SSL

• First public version was SSLv2
• Developed by Netscape
• Released in November 1994
• No public review prior to release
SSL 2 Basics

• Used X.509 Certificates for identity and key management
• Supported a range of ‘cipher suites’
• No support for extensions
• Protocol was controlled by Netscape
Oops!

- SSL 2 protocol was insecure
- US government forced the ‘Export’ mode where the ciphers were weakened
SSL 3

- Complete rewrite
- New record layer format
- Fixed the security flaws
- Released late 1995
- Still a Netscape protocol
TLS1, Finally…

- Work on this started in 1996
- Intended to be a tidied up version of SSL 3
- 3DES made mandatory
- Designed to be extensible
- Spec ready late 1997
Maybe Not…

• Like SSLv2 and SSLv3 TLS uses X.509 certificates
• X.509 specification was incomplete
• IETF rules means TLS had to wait
• TLS 1 finally released in 1999
TLS 1 Basics

- X.509 certificates used for identity and key management
- Supports a range of cipher suites
- Designed to be extensible
- Not controlled by any single vendor
Certificates

- Certificates are very important
- X.509 standard was not really designed for this
- ASN.1
- Unfortunately complicated
What is in a Certificate?

- Subject
- Issuer
- Public Key
- Extensions
- Simple!
Certificate Authorities

- Certificates should be signed by a CA
- Prevents man-in-the-middle attacks
- Self-signed certificates are bad
Oops We Lost Our Keys

- Keys can be lost or compromised
- We need a way to revoke them
- Certificate Revocation Lists
Except CRLs Don’t Work

- CRLs are too big
- Each CA has their own list
- OCSP is the answer
OCSP

• Online Certificate Status Protocol
• Certificate says where to ask
• Browser checks the OCSP looking for a signed status response
OCSP has Problems Too

• OCSP servers can get overloaded
• CAs don’t update them very well
• Only the leaf certificates are currently checked
OCSP Stapling

- Web server sends the OCSP response as a TLS extension
- Response is signed by the CA so it’s safe
- Only just reaching deployment
- Apache 2.3.3 added support
- Browser support is currently poor
The Story so Far

- TLS 1
- Strong cipher suites
- X.509 Certificates
- Certificate Authorities
- OCSP

- Simple!
What About Virtual Hosting?

- Duplicate elements in Subject and Issuer
- SubjectAltNames
- Wildcards (naturally not specified how they work)
- Server Name Indication
There May be Trouble Ahead

• Now we’ve had the theory
• The rest is easy…
Ok, So I Lied…

- Subject and issuer actually have a very complex structure
- The Common Name field was used to identify the server
- The RFCs allow certificates to contain arbitrary ASN.1
Getting Silly

- Because X.509 is general it lets you have many fields that are inappropriate for SSL
  - Embedded photographs
  - Favourite drinks
  - Duplicate fields
  - Logos
CAs

- Sign anything
- EV certificates (make them do their job)
- Rules for domain validation are only being formulated now
- Get compromised
Who do you Trust?

- People imagine there are few CAs
- Verisign, and a few others…
- The reality is rather different
Random CA Facts

- Any CA can sign a certificate for any domain
- Dozens of German Universities
- Marks and Spencer
- Walt Disney
- 1,482 CA Certificates trustable by Windows or Firefox
Servers Often Misconfigured

- SSL 2 enabled
- Weak ciphers enabled
- NULL ciphers enabled
- Don’t support OCSP pinning
- Don’t support SNI
Certificate Problems

• Lots of default self-signed certs around
• Lots of name mismatches
• Weak certificates due to a bug in Debian’s key generation
Bad Practices

- Failing to force users to use HTTPS
- Mixed content
- Content from other sites, especially analytics
- SSL used only for login pages
- Session cookies that aren’t using the secure-only flag
SSL Implementations

• Not checking constraints properly
• ASN.1 problems
• NULs in names
• Shell globs for wildcards
Browsers

• Don’t switch on the security by default
• Poor UI indications for users
• Inconsistent UI
• Even worse on mobile platforms
• Content from more than one HTTPS site are allowed
Users

- Ignore the warning dialogs
- Stick a padlock anywhere and they’re happy
- Don’t even notice if it’s SSL
- So basically, all of the above is somewhat moot!
A World of FAIL

- CAs
- Servers
- Implementations
- Browser
- Users
Summary, SSL is Complex

• A suite of protocols
• All need to be right for real security
• Only as strong as the weakest link in the chain

• Currently the chain has several weak links
Questions?